

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/583,524	<b>Applicant(s)</b> AZZAWI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1654

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Mr. Brian Anscomb. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 December 2009**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

102/103

Claims discussed:

10 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Spikmans

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Satyanarayana R Gudibande/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Anscomb was contacted with an examiner's amendment to incorporate the limitations of claim 11 into claim 10 and cancel all the other claims to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Anscomb after consultation with clients notified the office on 12/4/09 stating that the proposed amendments to allow the case would be unacceptable. .